



07 - 25 - 05

Attorney Docket No. 15569-0005

ITW/B

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: Parsons et al. :  
Serial No.: 10/624,883 : Group Art Unit: 2155  
Filed: July 21, 2003 : Examiner: Barot, Bharat  
Title: **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DOMAIN NAME  
REGISTRATION BY PROXY**

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL  
"Express Mail" Mail Label Number EV604504155US**

Sir:

I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

1. Statement of the Substance of Interview; and
3. A return receipt postcard.

Dated: 7/21/2006

By: Suzanne Shields  
Suzanne Shields

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.  
2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 80516-9225  
Tel. No. (602) 530-8000  
Fax No. (602) 530-8500



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Parsons et al. :  
Serial No.: 10/624,883 : Examiner: Barot, Bharat  
Filing Date: July 21, 2003 : Art Unit: 2155  
Title: **Method and System for Domain Name Registration and Email by Proxy**

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The examiner's Interview Summary issued with the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due in this application on July 13, 2006 is supplemented here.

As indicated in the examiner's Interview Summary and accompanying Examiner's Amendment, an interview in this application was held on June 23, 2006 by telephone. The interview participants were Examiner Bharat Barot and attorney for applicants, Thomas D. MacBlain (Reg. No. 24,583). Attorney for applicants and the examiner agreed upon the amendments to claims 26 and 44 as stated in the Examiner's Amendment for the subject of those claims to clearly recite patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The allowance of claims 1 - 18, 26 - 34, 44 - 47, 69 - 73, 75, 78 - 83, 86 - 87 and 92 - 93 was agreed upon with claims 26 and 44 amended as agreed.

The cancellation of claims 19 - 25, 35 - 43, 48 - 68, 74, 76 - 77, 84 - 85, 88 - 91 and 94 - 95 was agreed upon. In addition attorney for applicants advised the examiner of applicants' intention to present the previously rejected claims 19 - 25, 52 - 68, 74, 76 - 77, 84 - 85, 88 - 91, 94 and 95 in a continuing application. The examiner expressed his understanding that this could be done. The cancellation of these claims was, then, without prejudice to their subsequent submission in a continuing application.

In addition, during the interview, attorney for applicants advised Mr. Barot that, in a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed July 21, 2006, the published U.S. patent application No. 2002/0091827 to King et al. (now of record) had been identified. The Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and the King et al. publication had not yet reached Examiner Barot. Mr. Barot took the publication number and advised that he would consider the publication.

Attorney for applicants thanks Examiner Barot for his helpfulness.

No fee is believed required, however, authorization is given to charge any additional fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 070135. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

**GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.**



Date: July 21, 2006

By: Thomas D. MacBlain  
Reg. No. 24,583  
Attorneys for Applicant

Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.  
2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85016  
(602) 530-8088  
[tdm@gknet.com](mailto:tdm@gknet.com)